

S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/656,811 06/03/96 BARTSCH D 50865/JPW/JM **EXAMINER** HM12/0302 JOHN P WHITE PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** COOPER & DUNHAM

1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10036

1646 **DATE MAILED:**

03/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/656,811

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Michael Pak

Group Art Unit 1646

Bartsch et al.



TH	E PE	RIOD F	OR RESPONSE	: [check only	a) or b)]						
	a) [expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, wis later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the rejection.										
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and th date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the dat determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.									date for t	he purposes of
X			Brief is due tw esponse set fo								(or within any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 7, 2001</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:											
X	The	The proposed amendment(s):									
		will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.									
	🗴 will not be entered because:										
	M they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).										
	X they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).										
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal.									simplifying the	
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.									ms.		
NOTE: The new limitation of derepress/repress raise the issues that would require further consideration									ration and search		
for 102, 103, and 112. Applicant did not point to the location in the specification of the suppo									upport for the		
	claim amendment for new matter consideration.										
		Applica	ant's response i	nas overcome	the following	ng rejection(s	s):				
											-
			posed or amend timely filed ame		elling the no	n-allowable		woul	ld be allo	wable if s	submitted in a
X	for	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition or allowance because: The newly amended claims were not entered and the reasons for the rejection of the claims have been set forth in the									
		previous office action.									
		The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.									
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):									y):	
_		Claims allowed: none									
		Claims objected to: none									
	Claims rejected: 1, 3-6, 15, 16, and 18-22										
											y the Examiner.
	Not	e the a	attached Inform	ation Disclosu	re Statemer	nt(s), PTO-1	449, Paper	r No(s)		_ •	
	Oth	ner							Pl	MICHA	Charf D. Arn LEL PAK EYAMMER